



# White Knights or Trojan Horses?

*A Policy and Legal Framework for Evaluating Hospital  
Consolidations in California*

---

*by Julio Mateo, Jr., Esq. and Jaime Rossi, MPP*

West Coast Regional Office

April, 1999

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	<i>i</i>
Introduction.....	1
Old and New Conversion Trends.....	2
California Always Has to Be Different.....	4
California’s Regulatory Framework (AB 3101).....	6
California’s Community Benefits Requirement (SB 697).....	7
California Case Studies.....	9
Pre-AB 3101 Hospital Transactions Disapproved by the Attorney General.....	9
Sharp Healthcare/Columbia/HCA.....	9
Pre-AB 3101 Hospital Transactions Approved by the Attorney General.....	10
Sacred Heart/Central Valley/Adventist.....	10
Good Samaritan/Columbia/HCA.....	10
Centinela/OrNda/Tenet.....	14
United Western Medical Centers/OrNda/Tenet.....	16
Pacific Hospital of Long Beach/HealthSmart.....	20
Post-AB 3101 Hospital Transactions Approved by the Attorney General.....	22
Riverside Community Hospital/Columbia/HCA Joint Venture.....	22
Queen of Angels/Hollywood Presbyterian Medical Center/Tenet.....	25
Watsonville Community Hospital/Community Health Systems.....	28
Sharp Healthcare Murrieta/Tenet.....	29
New and Unregulated Transactions.....	31
Alexian Brothers/Columbia/HCA.....	31
Cedars-Sinai/Tenet.....	32
Catholic Healthcare West/Tenet.....	32
Summit Medical Center/Sutter Health.....	32
Catholic Healthcare West/UniHealth.....	33
An Attempted Improvement - AB 2527/AB 254.....	33
Findings.....	34
The Impact of AB 3101 on Sales Price.....	34
The Impact of AB 3101 on Deal Terms.....	38
The Impact of AB 3101 on Public Process.....	43
Charity-care and Bad-Debt Analysis.....	46
California’s New Hospital Conversion Foundations.....	47
Regulatory Cracks.....	50
Total Impact: Net Gains and Losses from Conversions.....	51
Recommendations.....	55
Endnotes.....	61

## Appendixes

A. Company Profiles .....	A-1
OrNda/Tenet .....	A-1
Columbia/HCA .....	A-2
Catholic Healthcare West .....	A-2
Sutter Health .....	A-2
Kaiser Permanente.....	A-3
Universal Health Services .....	A-3
Community Health Systems.....	A-3
B. Other Consolidations .....	A-4
Nonprofit/Nonprofit Mergers.....	A-4
University of California Transactions.....	A-7
District Hospital Transactions .....	A-9
C. Report Methodology.....	A-14
D. Data Source Tables .....	A-17
E. Coalition Statement of Principles.....	A-20
F. Undervaluation of HMOs.....	A-32
Endnotes.....	A-33

## Acknowledgments

Three years ago, Consumers Union,<sup>1</sup> nonprofit publisher of *Consumer Reports* magazine, began a national effort known as the Community Health Assets Project. The project seeks to protect nonprofit charitable assets and to ensure that any potential adverse health impacts that may result from these transactions are mitigated.

The project is a joint effort of Consumers Union and Community Catalyst of Boston. The project is funded by the California Wellness Foundation, the Ford Foundation, the Commonwealth Fund, the W. K. Kellogg Foundation, the California Consumer Protection Foundation, the Rockefeller Family Fund, and the Public Welfare Foundation. We gratefully acknowledge the support of these foundations and Consumers Union.

Many of the materials for this report were obtained directly from former Deputy Attorney General James Schwartz of the California Attorney General's Charitable Trust Division in San Francisco, with whom we have worked closely and give our thanks. Other materials were located at the Attorney General's Registry of Charitable Trusts in Sacramento. Using an index maintained by the Attorney General entitled the "Index of Noticed Transactions," we were able to retrieve the individual files for many of the transactions described in this report. We extend our appreciation to Ms. Gail Wilson and Mr. Larry Campbell of the registry for their help in locating this information.

We also express our thanks to California's Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development ("OSHPD"). We particularly thank Ms. Karen Baker, a data specialist at OSHPD, who was indispensable in answering our questions.

Last, but not least, the authors are also grateful to the following people for their assistance with this report: Judith Bell, PolicyLink; Margo Hunter, Habeas Corpus Resource Center; Tyler Baldwin, City of Berkeley; Harry Snyder, Lynn Lohr, Diana Bianco, Evaluz Barrameda, Minerva Novoa, Robert Solorzano, Carol Rivas Pollard and Elisa Odabashian, Consumers Union.

© 1999 Consumers Union, Inc.  
West Coast Regional Office

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in any retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without Consumers Union's prior permission.

Printed in the United States of America.  
Design and Typography: Minerva Novoa

---

<sup>1</sup> Consumers Union is a nonprofit membership organization chartered in 1936 under the laws of New York to provide consumers with information, education, and counsel about goods, services, health, and personal finance; to initiate and cooperate with individual and group efforts, and to maintain and enhance the quality of life for consumers. Consumers Union's income is solely derived from the sale of *Consumer Reports*, its other publications and services, and noncommercial contributions, grants, and fees. In addition to reports on Consumers Union's own product testing, *Consumer Reports* with approximately 5 million paid circulation, regularly carries articles on health, product safety, marketplace economics, and legislative, judicial, and regulatory actions affecting consumer welfare. Consumers Union's publications and services carry no outside advertising and receive no commercial support. The West Coast Regional Office of Consumers Union advocates in the California Legislature, administrative agencies, and other forums to protect the interests of consumers, particularly those of low and moderate incomes.