



UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT REFORM — MINORITY STAFF  
SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION  
OCTOBER 2006

---

## **BENEFITS OF PROPOSED DEMOCRATIC MEDICARE DRUG PROGRAM REFORMS**

---

PREPARED FOR  
**REP. HENRY A. WAXMAN**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	i
I. BACKGROUND .....	1
II. PROPOSED DEMOCRATIC REFORMS .....	3
III. PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY .....	4
<u>Estimates of Affected Beneficiaries</u> .....	4
<u>Estimates of Drug Prices</u> .....	4
IV. FINDINGS .....	5
<u>Democratic Reforms Could Cut Drug Costs for Almost     14 Million Beneficiaries</u> .....	5
<u>Democratic Reforms Could Reduce or Eliminate the     Donut Hole for over Six Million Beneficiaries</u> .....	7
<u>Democratic Reforms Would Eliminate Penalties for Over     One Million Medicare Beneficiaries</u> .....	9
V. CONCLUSION .....	10

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The launch of the new Medicare drug benefit has been marred by complexity and high costs. Nationally, over four million seniors and disabled Medicare beneficiaries did not sign up for benefits before the May 15, 2006, deadline. Many of these beneficiaries were deterred by the difficulty of choosing among dozens of competing plans and the inability of the plans to offer significant price discounts. Next year, average premiums for Medicare drug plans will increase by 13.2%, over three times the rate of inflation.


In response to these problems, Democratic members of Congress have proposed four fundamental reforms to improve the Medicare benefit:

- (1) Reduce drug prices by directing the federal Medicare program to negotiate with drug manufacturers on behalf of beneficiaries.
- (2) Use the drug price savings to eliminate the “donut hole.”
- (3) Extend the May 15 deadline to allow beneficiaries who missed the deadline a chance to sign up for the benefit without penalty.
- (4) Simplify plan choices and designs by authorizing the federal Medicare program to offer a standard federal plan.

At the request of Rep. Henry A. Waxman, this report analyzes the impact that passage of these Democratic proposals would have on seniors and other Medicare beneficiaries. It finds that under the Democratic proposals:

- **Almost 14 million Medicare beneficiaries could save over \$60 billion in premiums, copays, and other out-of-pocket drug costs.** Democrats have proposed allowing the Medicare program to negotiate for lower drug prices, which could reduce prices by 25% or more. There are 8.7 million Medicare beneficiaries nationwide enrolled in Medicare drug plans who pay their own premiums and copays, and they could expect average savings of almost \$500 annually if Medicare negotiated for 25% lower drug prices. The total savings over the next decade for these beneficiaries would be \$61 billion. If beneficiaries enrolled in Medicare HMOs received similar discounts, the total savings over the next decade would be \$96 billion.

**Democratic Reform Proposals Would Reduce Seniors' Out-of-Pocket Costs by Hundreds of Dollars**



- **Over six million Medicare beneficiaries could avoid the “donut hole.”** Drug benefits under standard Medicare drug plans end once beneficiaries have used \$2,250 worth of drugs and do not begin again until they have used over \$5,100 worth of drugs. The latest estimates indicate that 6.2 million beneficiaries nationwide will hit the donut hole this year, resulting in average out-of-pocket costs of \$1,700 per beneficiary. Democratic reform proposals in Congress would provide enough savings to eliminate or significantly reduce the size of the donut hole.
- **Over one million Medicare beneficiaries could avoid hundreds of dollars in penalties.** Enrollment in the Medicare drug program has been well below expectations, with over four million Medicare beneficiaries failing to sign up with a Medicare drug plan prior to the May 15, 2006, deadline. An estimated 1.1 million of these beneficiaries are now subject to lifetime penalties that could cost each individual almost \$500 to over \$1,000 over the next decade. Democratic reform proposals would give these beneficiaries an opportunity to participate in a Medicare drug plan without these unnecessary penalties.

## I. BACKGROUND

The Medicare drug program was designed by Republican leaders in Congress and the Bush Administration, working closely with the major pharmaceutical manufacturers and health insurers. Passage of the legislation in 2003 was hailed by the pharmaceutical industry, which called the legislation “an historic victory for seniors,”<sup>1</sup> and by the health insurance industry, which called the legislation “a powerful milestone.”<sup>2</sup> Democratic members who sought changes in the design of the plan were denied the opportunity to offer amendments.

Since the inception of the Medicare prescription drug program in January 2006, seniors and people with disabilities have confronted complex choices and a bewildering array of differences in premiums, deductibles, benefits, gaps in coverage, and drug plan formularies. The problems experienced under the plan include:

- **High drug prices.** According to one study, drug prices under the Medicare drug plans are over 80% higher than the prices negotiated by the federal government and over 60% higher than prices in Canada.<sup>3</sup>
- **Rising premiums.** In 2007, the average monthly premium for Medicare drug plans will increase by 13.2%, over three times more than the rate of inflation, with even steeper increases occurring among the lowest-cost plans. Over 75% of Medicare beneficiaries will face higher premiums in 2007 than in 2006 if they remain in their current plans.<sup>4</sup>
- **Inadequate benefit.** Under the standard Medicare drug plan, beneficiaries enter a “donut hole” and lose drug coverage when they have used \$2,250 worth of drugs. They do not regain coverage until they have used over \$5,100 worth of drugs.<sup>5</sup>
- **Drug denials.** Many beneficiaries have found that needed drugs are not covered or that the Medicare drug plans have established barriers such as prior authorization, volume limits, or step therapy that cut off access to critical drugs.<sup>6</sup>
- **Low enrollment.** Prior to the start of Medicare drug plan, the Department of Health and Human Services estimated that over 29 million beneficiaries would sign up for the new

---

<sup>1</sup> Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA), *Statement by Alan F. Holmer, President and CEO, On the Passage of the Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit* (Nov. 25, 2003).

<sup>2</sup> America’s Health Insurance Plans (AHIP), Press Release, *Health Insurers Hail Senate Passage of Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit* (Nov. 25, 2003).

<sup>3</sup> Minority Staff, Special Investigations Division, House Committee on Government Reform, *New Medicare Drug Plans Fail to Provide Meaningful Drug Price Discounts* (Nov. 2005).

<sup>4</sup> Letter from Rep. Henry A. Waxman to HHS Secretary Mike Leavitt (Oct. 12, 2006).

<sup>5</sup> Under the standard Medicare plan, beneficiaries will have used \$5,100 worth of drugs, and incurred \$3,600 in out-of-pocket costs when they regain coverage.

<sup>6</sup> Minority Staff, Special Investigations Division, House Committee on Government Reform, *New Medicare Drug Plans Restrict Access to Important Drugs* (Mar. 2006).

program.<sup>7</sup> When enrollment closed on May 15, 2006, only 22.5 million beneficiaries had enrolled in Medicare drug plans or Medicare HMOs that offer drug coverage.<sup>8</sup>

- **Inaccurate information.** Two reports by the Government Accountability Office found that neither the Medicare program, through the 1-800-MEDICARE hotline, nor the drug plans themselves are able to provide accurate information to beneficiaries. GAO found that the Medicare hotline provided inaccurate, inappropriate, or incomplete answers to one third of basic questions,<sup>9</sup> while the Medicare drug plans failed to answer basic questions accurately and completely two-thirds of the time.<sup>10</sup>
- **Unnecessary red tape.** According to the Office of Management and Budget, the complexities of the Medicare drug benefit increased federal paperwork burdens for businesses and Medicare beneficiaries by millions of hours annually.<sup>11</sup>

These problems have led independent observers across the nation to call for fundamental change. Editorial boards around the nation have called the program “confusing .... convoluted ... plagued by poor management and design,”<sup>12</sup> “an expensive failure,”<sup>13</sup> and “a big scam,”<sup>14</sup> and have called for numerous reforms, including: “waiv[ing] the imposition of lifetime penalties”;<sup>15</sup> “allowing “Medicare [to] negotiate directly with drug companies on prices of drugs”;<sup>16</sup> “filling the doughnut hole”;<sup>17</sup> and simplifying the program by “mak[ing] prescription coverage a simple, direct benefit from Medicare.”<sup>18</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> HHS, *Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit Final Rule* (Jan. 28, 2005).

<sup>8</sup> CMS, *Total Medicare Beneficiaries with Drug Coverage (as of June 11, 2006)* (July 2006).

<sup>9</sup> GAO, *Medicare: Communications to Beneficiaries on the Prescription Drug Benefit Could Be Improved* (May 2006) (GAO-06-654).

<sup>10</sup> GAO, *Medicare Part D: Prescription Drug Plan Sponsor Call Center Responses Were Prompt, but Not Consistently Accurate and Complete* (June 2006) (GAO-06-710).

<sup>11</sup> Minority Staff, House Committee on Government Reform, *Government Paperwork Burdens Have Increased Substantially Under The Bush Administration* (July 2006).

<sup>12</sup> The Seattle Times, *Waive Penalties on Medicare Rx* (May 18, 2006).

<sup>13</sup> Roanoke Times, *Filling the Doughnut Hole* (Aug. 3, 2006).

<sup>14</sup> San Gabriel Valley Tribune, *In the Short Run, Part D Is a Big Scam* (July 26, 2006).

<sup>15</sup> Contra Costa Times, *Waive the Penalties* (May 18, 2006); see also, The Seattle Times, *Waive Penalties on Medicare Rx* (May 18, 2006); The Detroit News, *Help Seniors Extend Drug Benefit Deadline* (May 17, 2006).

<sup>16</sup> The Raleigh News and Observer, *A Distressing Gap* (Aug. 2, 2006); see also, The Columbus Dispatch, *Drug Money* (July 23, 2006); Akron Beacon Journal, *Profit and Cost* (July 21, 2006); St. Petersburg Times, *The Doughnut Hole* (July 6, 2006).

<sup>17</sup> The Roanoke Times, *supra* note 12; see also, Rochester Democrat and Chronicle, *Close Coverage Gap* (July 25, 2006); Herald News (Passaic County, NJ), *Time To Get Up and Fill the Doughnut* (July 14, 2006);

<sup>18</sup> The Bergen Record, *Medicare D-lemma: The D Stands for Doughnut Hole* (July 17, 2006).

## II. PROPOSED DEMOCRATIC REFORMS

Democratic members of Congress in the House and the Senate have introduced 41 bills to address the problems afflicting the Medicare drug program.<sup>19</sup> These bills, however, have been blocked from consideration by the Republican majority. In the House, there have been no hearings on any Democratic reform proposals.

Two leading Democratic bills to reform the Medicare drug program are the Medicare Informed Choice Act (H.R. 3861) and the Medicare Prescription Drug Savings and Choices Act (H.R. 752). In these bills and other proposals, Democrats have pressed for four fundamental reforms to the Medicare drug benefit.

First, Democratic proposals would direct the federal Medicare program to negotiate with drug manufacturers on behalf of beneficiaries.<sup>20</sup> The federal government negotiates with drug manufacturers when purchasing drugs for the Veterans Administration and other federal programs, generating savings of over 80% from pharmacy prices. The current Medicare drug program prohibits Medicare from using the vast buying power of the program to negotiate discounted prices.

Second, Democratic members have proposed that some of the savings from negotiating lower drug prices be used to improve the Medicare drug benefit and eliminate the donut hole. According to Democratic Leader Nancy Pelosi, the legislation would “help close the ‘donut hole’ by dedicating the cost savings from price negotiation toward ending the coverage gap.”<sup>21</sup>

Third, Democratic proposals would extend the May 15 deadline to allow beneficiaries who missed the deadline a chance to sign up for the benefit without penalty.<sup>22</sup>

Finally, Democratic proposals would authorize Medicare to offer a standard federal plan. Under these proposals, the Medicare program would be directed to offer a single, national version of the basic plan that would negotiate for low prices, offer standard benefits, and be open to all beneficiaries.<sup>23</sup>

---

<sup>19</sup> H.R. 152; H.R. 563; H.R. 655; H.R. 752; H.R. 729; H.R. 1144; H. R. 1572; H.R. 1626; H.R. 2200; H.R. 2685; H.R. 2487; H.R. 2746; H.R. 3637; H.R. 3861; H.R. 4103; H.R. 4610; H.R. 4610; H.R. 4652; H.R. 4660; H.R. 4665; H.R. 4675; H.R. 4685; H.R. 4737; H.R. 4792; H.R. 4928; H.R. 5433; H.R. 5629; H.R. 4395; H.R. 4410; H.R. 4645; H.R. 4719; H.R. 4722; H.R. 4723; H.R. 4743; H.R. 4938; H.R. 4996; H.R. 5102; H.R. 5116; H.R. 5147; H.R. 5263; H.R. 5307; H.R. 5518; H.R. 5969.

<sup>20</sup> H.R. 752, Medicare Prescription Drug Savings and Choices Act.

<sup>21</sup> Democratic Leader Nancy Pelosi, *Democratic Prescription for Change* (June 27, 2006).

<sup>22</sup> H.R. 3861, Medicare Informed Choice Act

<sup>23</sup> H.R. 752, Medicare Prescription Drug Savings and Choices Act.

### III. PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY

At the request of Rep. Henry A. Waxman, this report analyzes the impact that the Democratic reform proposals would on the nation's 40 million Medicare beneficiaries.

#### Estimates of Affected Beneficiaries

The estimate of the number of Medicare beneficiaries who have or have not subscribed to a Medicare drug plan was obtained from the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the federal agency charged with overseeing the Medicare drug plan.<sup>24</sup> The estimate of the number of Medicare beneficiaries who will enter the donut hole this year comes from data compiled Wolters Kluwer Health, which based its figures upon a detailed analysis of spending and reimbursement for over 2.6 million Medicare beneficiaries.<sup>25</sup>

#### Estimates of Drug Prices

Estimates vary as to the potential reductions in Medicare drug prices under a scenario in which the Medicare program negotiates directly with drug manufacturers for lower prices.

One useful benchmark is the prices that the government is now able to negotiate for drugs used by the VA. Analysts have found that for popular brand name drugs, VA prices are between 30% and 46% lower than the prices offered by the Medicare drug plans.<sup>26</sup> Another benchmark is the prices that consumers pay for drugs in Canada. For brand name drugs, these Canadian prices are an estimated 38% lower than the prices offered by Medicare drug plans.<sup>27</sup>

Brand-name drugs account for an estimated 80% of drug expenses.<sup>28</sup> Reducing their prices to the same levels currently obtained by the federal government for the VA or to the prices currently available in Canada would reduce overall Medicare costs by 24% to 37%. This analysis uses a

---

<sup>24</sup> CMS, *supra* note 8.

<sup>25</sup> Wolters Kluwer Health, *Donut Hole Study* (Sep. 21, 2006).

<sup>26</sup> See, e.g., Democratic Staff, Special Investigations Division, *supra* note 3; Families USA, *Big Dollars, Little Sense: Rising Medicare Prescription Drug Prices* (June 2006).

<sup>27</sup> Democratic Staff, Special Investigations Division, *supra* note 3.

<sup>28</sup> The remaining 20% of costs are accounted for by spending on generic drugs and by payments to pharmacies. Because private Medicare drug plans have succeeded in obtaining discounts on generic drugs and have reduced pharmacy payments significantly, the Medicare program may not be able to negotiate for significantly lower prices in these areas. See, e.g., Generic Pharmaceutical Industry Association, *About Generics: Statistics: 2005 Sales Data* (2006) (online at [www.gphaonline.org/Content/NavigationMenu/AboutGenerics/Statistics/Statistics.htm](http://www.gphaonline.org/Content/NavigationMenu/AboutGenerics/Statistics/Statistics.htm))

conservative estimate, assuming a total Medicare saving of 25% if the Medicare program were to negotiate for lower prices.<sup>29</sup>

It is possible that the total Medicare savings would be even higher, as some analysts have estimated that allowing such negotiations would reduce Medicare average drug costs by up to 70%.<sup>30</sup>

## IV. FINDINGS

Almost 15 million seniors and other Medicare beneficiaries could benefit from proposed Democratic reforms to the Medicare drug program. The reforms could (1) cut the out-of-pocket drug costs for the average Medicare beneficiary by almost \$500 each per year, (2) eliminate or significantly reduce the size of the donut hole for over six million beneficiaries, and (3) save over one million late-enrolling beneficiaries hundreds of dollars in penalties.

### **Democratic Reforms Could Cut Drug Costs for Almost 14 Million Beneficiaries**

Nationwide, approximately 16.5 million beneficiaries are participating in the Medicare prescription drug program.<sup>31</sup> These beneficiaries can be divided into three groups: 6.1 million low-income beneficiaries who are also eligible for Medicaid, often referred to as “dual eligibles”; 1.7 million low-income beneficiaries who are not fully eligible for Medicaid, but receive low-income subsidies for drug premiums and co-pays; and approximately 8.7 million beneficiaries who are enrolled in Medicare prescription drug plans and are not eligible for either Medicaid or drug subsidies. In addition, an estimated six million additional beneficiaries receive drug coverage through subsidized Medicare HMOs which are also known as “Medicare Advantage” plans.<sup>32</sup>

The third group of beneficiaries enrolled in Medicare drug plans — the 8.7 million beneficiaries who are not eligible for Medicaid or low-income subsidies — must pay significant out-of-pocket costs under Medicare drug plans. Under a standard plan, they are responsible for paying all of

---

<sup>29</sup> The calculations in this analysis assume that if drug costs are reduced by 25%, Medicare premiums and total drug spending will be reduced proportionally.

<sup>30</sup> Center for Economic and Policy Research, *The Savings From An Efficient Medicare Drug Plan* (Jan.2006).

<sup>31</sup> An additional 15.7 million beneficiaries are covered by retiree drug plans or have other creditable coverage. CMS, *supra* note 8.

<sup>32</sup> CMS, *supra* note 8; Kaiser Family Foundation, *Medicare Prescription Drug Enrollment Update* (June 2006). This includes an estimated 1 million beneficiaries who receive low-income subsidies for drug premiums and co-pays. The analysis assumes that the enrollment of the 2.7 million low-income beneficiaries who are not fully eligible for Medicaid is split proportionally between the Medicare drug plans and the Medicare HMOs.

their premiums (estimated at approximately \$25 per month in 2006).<sup>33</sup> In addition, they must pay for the first \$250 of drugs they use and 25% of the next \$2,000. Beneficiaries then hit the donut hole, in which they must again pay the full cost of their drugs until they have used over \$5,100 worth of drugs.

On average, the Medicare beneficiaries who have signed up with Medicare prescription drug plans but receive no low-income subsidies will use approximately \$2,500 worth of drugs. Including premiums, these beneficiaries will spend an average of \$1,380 in out-of-pocket drug costs.<sup>34</sup> These costs are so high in part because the individual drug plans have been unable to negotiate substantial discounts on the drugs used by Medicare recipients.

Under Democratic reform proposals, the Medicare program would be empowered to negotiate for discounts on behalf of beneficiaries, reducing overall drug costs by an estimated 25%. The savings to beneficiaries from the lower drug costs would be reflected in lower premiums, reduced co-pays, and lower prices for beneficiaries in the donut hole. For the average Medicare beneficiary nationwide, the annual savings are estimated to be \$495.<sup>35</sup> This would reduce the average annual cost under the drug plans from \$1,380 to \$885.


---

<sup>33</sup> HHS, *2006 Annual Report of the Boards of Trustees of the Federal Hospital Insurance and Federal Supplementary Medicare Insurance Trust Funds* (2006).

<sup>34</sup> The average beneficiary who pays his or her own costs pays \$1,081 in out-of-pocket drug costs and \$300 in premiums. Actuarial Research Corporation and Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation, *Estimates of Medicare Beneficiaries Out-of-Pocket Drug Spending in 2006* (Nov. 2004); HHS, *id.*

<sup>35</sup> These savings come in two forms. First, Medicare premiums, which currently average \$25 per month, would be reduced by 25%, saving \$75 annually. Second, the out-of-pocket cost of drugs used by Medicare beneficiaries, which currently average \$1,081, would decline to \$660, saving \$421 annually.

**Figure 1: Democratic Reform Proposals Would Reduce Seniors' Out-of-Pocket Costs by Hundreds of Dollars**



In the aggregate, the savings would mean billions of dollars in reduced drug costs for Medicare beneficiaries. Under Democratic proposals to allow Medicare to negotiate lower drug prices, the total savings for beneficiaries enrolled in Medicare drug plans are estimated to be \$4.3 billion annually. Over a ten-year period, the total savings for Medicare beneficiaries would be an estimated \$61 billion.<sup>36</sup>

Five million beneficiaries receive drug coverage through Medicare HMO plans.<sup>37</sup> If these beneficiaries were allowed access to lower prices negotiated by the federal government, the total savings for Medicare beneficiaries could reach \$96 billion over the next decade.

### **Democratic Reforms Could Reduce or Eliminate the Donut Hole for over Six Million Beneficiaries**

<sup>36</sup> The estimate assumes that over the next decade, annual per capita drug costs for Medicare beneficiaries increase by 7.5%, the rate predicted by Medicare actuaries. HHS, *supra* note 33. The estimate also assumes that over the next decade, there are 13.7 million beneficiaries who are enrolled in Medicare drug plans or Medicare HMOs and not receiving low-income assistance. The estimate is not adjusted to account for increases in Medicare drug plan enrollment, which is expected to almost double over the next decade. HHS, *supra* note 33. As a result, the calculation most likely represents an underestimate of the true cost savings available in as a result of lower drug prices.

<sup>37</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, *Premiums and Cost-Sharing Features in Medicare's New Prescription Drug Program, 2006* (May 2006).

Under the standard Medicare drug plans, many beneficiaries with high drugs costs continue to face thousands of dollars in out-of-pocket expenses, due in large part to the donut hole in these plans. The gap in coverage begins when beneficiaries have used \$2,250 worth of drugs. At this point, coverage is eliminated, and beneficiaries must pay the full cost of their drugs until they have used \$5,100 worth of drugs.

Dual-eligible beneficiaries and some low-income beneficiaries are not affected by the donut hole because the Medicare program pays their out-of-pocket costs. But for millions of beneficiaries, the donut hole is a major financial burden.

The latest estimates of the number of Medicare beneficiaries who will enter the donut hole indicate that more beneficiaries will enter the donut hole than initially anticipated. Early analyses predicted that 33% of unsubsidized Medicare beneficiaries enrolled in Medicare drug plans or Medicare HMOs would enter the donut hole.<sup>38</sup> According to the most recent data, almost 40% of unsubsidized Medicare beneficiaries who enrolled in January 2006 will enter the donut hole.<sup>39</sup> Nationwide, 4.1 million Medicare beneficiaries had entered the donut hole by the end of September, and by the end of the year, 6.2 million beneficiaries will have entered or passed through the donut hole.<sup>40</sup> For these beneficiaries, their average out-of-pocket costs in the donut hole will be approximately \$1,700.<sup>41</sup>

Many beneficiaries who enter the donut hole will discontinue their medications. National data show that among seniors who reached the donut hole by August 2006, 14% had discontinued their use of anti-depressant medications; 12% had discontinued their use of diabetes medications; and 8% had discontinued their use of cholesterol medication.<sup>42</sup> This disruption of care could have serious health consequences.

By authorizing the federal Medicare program to negotiate lower drug prices, Democratic reform proposals significantly reduce the cost of providing the Medicare drug benefit. According to multiple analyses, these savings would be large enough that they could be used to reduce or eliminate the drug benefit's donut hole for all Medicare beneficiaries enrolled in the program.<sup>43</sup> Using these savings for this purpose would cut the high drug costs in the donut hole and protect the health of over six million Medicare beneficiaries.

---

<sup>38</sup> Actuarial Research Corporation and Kaiser Family Foundation, *supra* note 34.; CBO, *A Detailed Description of CBO's Cost Estimate for the Medicare Prescription Drug Benefit* (July 2004).

<sup>39</sup> Wolters Kluwer Health, *supra* note 25.

<sup>40</sup> *Id.*

<sup>41</sup> Actuarial Research Corporation and Kaiser Family Foundation, *supra* note 34.

<sup>42</sup> Wolters Kluwer, *supra* note 25.

<sup>43</sup> See, e.g., Center for Economic Policy Research, *supra* note 30; G.F. Anderson, D.G. Shea, and P. Hussey, et al., Health Affairs, *Doughnut Holes and Price Controls* (July 21, 2004).

## Democratic Reforms Would Eliminate Penalties for Over One Million Medicare Beneficiaries

The deadline for enrolling in a Medicare drug plan was May 15, 2006. Nationwide, approximately 4.4 million Medicare beneficiaries were unable to enroll by the deadline.<sup>44</sup>

Many of these beneficiaries now face large penalties if they chose to sign up for coverage in the future. Under the law, premiums are increased by 1% per month for every month after May 15 until the beneficiaries sign up for the benefit. These increased premiums have to be paid for the lifetime of the beneficiary, as long as he or she remains enrolled in the drug benefit.<sup>45</sup> Moreover, following the May 15, 2006, deadline, enrollment is closed until January 1, 2007. Thus, any beneficiary who did not sign up before May 15 faces a minimum of a seven month wait — and a 7% increase in drug benefit premiums — until he or she can obtain coverage.

Average premiums for enrolling in a Medicare drug plan in 2007 are expected to be approximately \$29 monthly.<sup>46</sup> For a beneficiary who missed the May 15 deadline but enrolls before January 1, 2007, the additional penalty will be \$24 in 2007. Over the next decade, the cumulative penalty would be \$377.<sup>47</sup> For a beneficiary who waits until January 1, 2008, to enroll, the penalty over the next decade would be over \$1,000.<sup>48</sup>

Not all of the 4.4 million Medicare beneficiaries who missed the May 15 deadline face the prospect of penalties. The Medicare drug program provides for a waiver of penalties for all beneficiaries eligible for low-income subsidies.<sup>49</sup> Nationwide, an estimated 3.3 million non-enrolled beneficiaries are eligible for this waiver.<sup>50</sup> The remaining 1.1 million beneficiaries will be subject to penalties

Under Democratic proposals, these penalties would be waived for beneficiaries who were unable to enroll before the May 15 deadline. For the 1.1 million beneficiaries nationwide subject to penalties, this waiver would be a significant benefit. It would save these beneficiaries a minimum of \$415 million — and up to \$1.1 billion or more — over the next decade.

---

<sup>44</sup> CMS, *supra* note 8.

<sup>45</sup> Public Law 108-173 § 1860D-13(b)

<sup>46</sup> Letter from Rep. Waxman to Secretary Leavitt, *supra* note 4.

<sup>47</sup> This calculation is based on HHS estimates that the average Medicare drug benefit premium over the next decade would be \$44.89, costing beneficiaries a total of \$5,387 over the next decade. Beneficiaries who are forced to pay the late enrollment penalty would pay a minimum of \$5,764 — 7% more. HHS, *supra* note 33.

<sup>48</sup> Under this scenario, the monthly penalty would be 19%, and the beneficiary would pay a total of \$6,410 in premiums over the next decade, \$1,023 more than if the beneficiary was not charged these penalties. *Id.*

<sup>49</sup> CMS, *Medicare Announces Special Election Period For Low-Income Beneficiaries and Those Affected By Katrina* (June 20, 2006).

<sup>50</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, *supra* note 32.

## V. CONCLUSION

This report analyzes the impact of proposed Democratic reforms to the Medicare drug benefit. It finds that these proposals could save the average Medicare beneficiary enrolled in a Medicare drug plan almost \$500 per year, for a total savings of \$61 – \$96 billion over the next decade. The proposals could also reduce or eliminate the donut hole for over six million beneficiaries and protect over one million beneficiaries from paying hundreds of dollars in penalties.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### ALABAMA

AL01 - Bonner	110,859	28,969	9,100	2,300	18,600	\$170.3 - \$288.2
AL02 - Everett	108,870	34,847	7,100	1,800	15,300	\$204.9 - \$236.5
AL03 - Rogers	109,275	36,790	8,600	2,100	16,200	\$216.3 - \$250.8
AL04 - Aderholt	121,186	43,411	11,300	2,800	19,100	\$255.3 - \$294.8
AL05 - Cramer	107,852	34,751	8,200	2,100	13,800	\$204.3 - \$214
AL06 - Bachus	103,312	25,441	8,600	2,100	18,500	\$149.6 - \$285.9
AL07 - Davis	103,914	25,159	7,100	1,800	17,200	\$147.9 - \$266.5
<b>AL - Alabama</b>	<b>765,268</b>	<b>229,367</b>	<b>60,050</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>118,700</b>	<b>\$1348.7 - \$1836.7</b>

### ALASKA

AK00 - Young	53,778	8,841	1,100	300	3,400	\$52 - \$52.6
<b>AK - Alaska</b>	<b>53,778</b>	<b>8,841</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>3,400</b>	<b>\$52 - \$52.6</b>

### ARIZONA

AZ01 - Renzi	114,309	27,249	16,200	4,000	16,000	\$160.2 - \$247.8
AZ02 - Franks	165,162	26,925	8,400	2,100	30,400	\$158.3 - \$470.6
AZ03 - Shadegg	79,362	13,646	5,800	1,400	16,600	\$80.2 - \$256.6
AZ04 - Pastor	54,847	5,204	1,700	400	11,700	\$30.6 - \$181.2
AZ05 - Hayworth	77,295	16,409	4,800	1,200	15,300	\$96.5 - \$236.6
AZ06 - Flake	107,711	15,411	4,400	1,100	22,000	\$90.6 - \$340.2
AZ07 - Grijalva	81,898	12,242	6,100	1,500	14,600	\$72 - \$226.6
AZ08 - Kolbe	125,562	20,160	4,200	1,100	22,200	\$118.5 - \$344.1
<b>AZ - Arizona</b>	<b>806,146</b>	<b>137,246</b>	<b>51,700</b>	<b>12,900</b>	<b>148,900</b>	<b>\$807 - \$2303.6</b>

### ARKANSAS

AR01 - Berry	128,776	49,926	12,900	3,200	20,600	\$293.6 - \$318.2
AR02 - Snyder	109,489	39,568	11,700	2,900	16,000	\$232.7 - \$247.6
AR03 - Boozman	117,183	41,447	16,200	4,000	18,400	\$243.7 - \$284.9
AR04 - Ross	124,392	49,469	12,800	3,200	19,800	\$290.9 - \$306.1
<b>AR - Arkansas</b>	<b>479,841</b>	<b>180,410</b>	<b>53,500</b>	<b>13,400</b>	<b>74,800</b>	<b>\$1060.8 - \$1156.8</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
CA01 - Thompson	102,920	22,128	12,000	3,000	14,700	\$130.1 - \$227.8
CA02 - Herger	117,621	32,265	16,100	4,000	13,500	\$189.7 - \$209.1
CA03 - Lungren	100,080	11,891	400	100	18,000	\$69.9 - \$278.6
CA04 - Doolittle	120,353	22,901	9,300	2,300	20,400	\$134.7 - \$315
CA05 - Matsui	82,412	5,735	0	0	13,300	\$33.7 - \$205.7
CA06 - Woolsey	93,841	17,890	7,900	2,000	17,800	\$105.2 - \$275.7
CA07 - Miller	76,062	6,105	1,900	500	12,900	\$35.9 - \$199.8
CA08 - Pelosi	95,481	8,311	4,400	1,100	13,700	\$48.9 - \$211.5
CA09 - Lee	76,364	8,009	4,700	1,200	12,500	\$47.1 - \$193.1
CA10 - Tauscher	88,116	11,309	4,300	1,100	16,800	\$66.5 - \$259.4
CA11 - Pombo	82,856	16,551	7,900	2,000	14,800	\$97.3 - \$228.3
CA12 - Lantos	93,522	12,301	6,900	1,700	19,600	\$72.3 - \$302.5
CA13 - Stark	73,115	6,773	3,200	800	13,000	\$39.8 - \$201.7
CA14 - Eshoo	78,217	13,589	8,700	2,200	14,500	\$79.9 - \$224.4
CA15 - Honda	70,464	8,174	4,200	1,100	12,200	\$48.1 - \$189
CA16 - Lofgren	64,595	6,457	3,700	900	9,900	\$38 - \$152.6
CA17 - Farr	72,190	18,736	10,600	2,700	8,400	\$110.2 - \$129.6
CA18 - Cardoza	77,709	12,216	7,000	1,700	10,100	\$71.8 - \$156.1
CA19 - Radanovich	97,673	17,303	9,700	2,400	15,000	\$101.7 - \$231.6
CA20 - Costa	59,607	8,498	4,200	1,100	6,900	\$50 - \$106.9
CA21 - Nunes	81,109	18,199	10,400	2,600	10,300	\$107 - \$158.7
CA22 - Thomas	92,361	13,592	6,900	1,700	14,800	\$79.9 - \$228.6
CA23 - Capps	87,419	17,384	9,800	2,500	12,200	\$102.2 - \$189.4
CA24 - Gallegly	88,017	16,447	8,500	2,100	13,600	\$96.7 - \$210.4
CA25 - McKeon	66,893	6,261	3,800	900	11,100	\$36.8 - \$172.4
CA26 - Dreier	83,422	10,010	6,000	1,500	16,700	\$58.9 - \$258.5
CA27 - Sherman	77,511	8,331	4,400	1,100	12,700	\$49 - \$196.6
CA28 - Berman	61,249	7,992	4,400	1,100	9,200	\$47 - \$141.8
CA29 - Schiff	89,642	10,036	4,900	1,200	12,700	\$59 - \$196.9
CA30 - Waxman	100,887	22,940	14,200	3,600	16,900	\$134.9 - \$261.2
CA31 - Becerra	51,951	3,519	1,400	300	6,100	\$20.7 - \$95
CA32 - Solis	69,561	4,484	1,400	300	11,200	\$26.4 - \$173.7
CA33 - Watson	74,051	6,800	3,700	900	10,500	\$40 - \$162.1
CA34 - Roybal-Allard	56,680	3,582	1,500	400	7,500	\$21.1 - \$115.4
CA35 - Waters	59,590	4,284	2,400	600	9,200	\$25.2 - \$143

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
CA36 - Harman	76,964	9,444	5,200	1,300	14,100	\$55.5 - \$218.5
CA37 - Millender-McDonald	59,561	3,620	900	200	8,600	\$21.3 - \$133.7
CA38 - Napolitano	68,997	4,110	1,600	400	12,600	\$24.2 - \$194.5
CA39 - Sanchez	61,601	4,098	1,900	500	10,900	\$24.1 - \$168.3
CA40 - Royce	77,798	10,461	4,500	1,100	15,600	\$61.5 - \$241.9
CA41 - Lewis	105,889	7,877	4,600	1,100	20,600	\$46.3 - \$319.2
CA42 - Miller	66,664	8,323	5,000	1,200	13,200	\$48.9 - \$204.3
CA43 - Baca	53,551	2,787	600	100	9,200	\$16.4 - \$142.5
CA44 - Calvert	69,371	6,058	2,800	700	13,500	\$35.6 - \$208.7
CA45 - Bono	117,067	16,476	8,300	2,100	21,900	\$96.9 - \$339
CA46 - Rohrabacher	97,258	14,264	7,200	1,800	18,500	\$83.9 - \$285.5
CA47 - Sanchez	47,712	5,281	1,700	400	8,400	\$31 - \$129.8
CA48 - Campbell	83,269	17,093	9,100	2,300	16,700	\$100.5 - \$258.8
CA49 - Issa	86,943	7,938	3,600	900	16,900	\$46.7 - \$261.9
CA50 - Bilbray	85,943	10,754	5,200	1,300	16,500	\$63.2 - \$255
CA51 - Filner	83,903	6,864	3,800	900	10,400	\$40.4 - \$160.9
CA52 - Hunter	82,140	6,549	0	0	16,400	\$38.5 - \$253.3
CA53 - Davis	69,713	7,138	0	0	11,400	\$42 - \$176
<b>CA - California</b>	<b>4,257,886</b>	<b>570,138</b>	<b>274,100</b>	<b>68,500</b>	<b>707,900</b>	<b>\$3352.4 - \$10953.5</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### COLORADO

CO01 - DeGette	76,457	13,670	4,600	1,200	15,700	\$80.4 - \$242.8
CO02 - Udall	57,585	8,372	4,800	1,200	11,200	\$49.2 - \$173.8
CO03 - Salazar	102,741	25,338	11,800	2,900	15,600	\$149 - \$240.7
CO04 - Musgrave	78,296	24,322	7,300	1,800	12,900	\$143 - \$199.6
CO05 - Hefley	77,530	14,365	3,900	1,000	10,000	\$84.5 - \$155.3
CO06 - Tancredo	62,702	9,624	4,800	1,200	11,700	\$56.6 - \$180.5
CO07 - Beauprez	77,384	8,692	700	200	15,800	\$51.1 - \$243.8
<b>CO - Colorado</b>	<b>532,694</b>	<b>104,383</b>	<b>38,000</b>	<b>9,500</b>	<b>92,800</b>	<b>\$613.8 - \$1436.6</b>

### CONNECTICUT

CT01 - Larson	114,284	34,008	13,100	3,300	14,800	\$200 - \$229.5
CT02 - Simmons	102,269	33,316	13,800	3,500	13,500	\$195.9 - \$208.2
CT03 - DeLauro	110,572	29,484	16,500	4,100	16,000	\$173.4 - \$247.7
CT04 - Shays	95,757	27,397	14,700	3,700	14,500	\$161.1 - \$223.6
CT05 - Johnson	104,444	33,418	14,200	3,600	14,200	\$196.5 - \$220.2
<b>CT - Connecticut</b>	<b>527,325</b>	<b>157,624</b>	<b>72,300</b>	<b>18,100</b>	<b>73,000</b>	<b>\$926.8 - \$1129.1</b>

### DELAWARE

DE00 - Castle	129,955	46,656	13,300	3,300	18,000	\$274.3 - \$278.6
<b>DE - Delaware</b>	<b>129,955</b>	<b>46,656</b>	<b>13,300</b>	<b>3,300</b>	<b>18,000</b>	<b>\$274.3 - \$278.6</b>

### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DC00 - Norton	73,530	10,673	7,800	2,000	6,000	\$62.8 - \$92.7
<b>DC - District of Columbia</b>	<b>73,530</b>	<b>10,673</b>	<b>7,800</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>\$62.8 - \$92.7</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
<b>FLORIDA</b>						
FL01 - Miller	107,508	21,178	2,500	600	10,300	\$124.5 - \$159.2
FL02 - Boyd	102,174	24,683	11,000	2,700	12,600	\$145.1 - \$195.7
FL03 - Brown	89,881	19,706	11,000	2,700	12,000	\$115.9 - \$186.4
FL04 - Crenshaw	85,965	22,650	6,600	1,600	11,400	\$133.2 - \$176.1
FL05 - Brown-Waite	202,387	44,192	23,400	5,800	29,400	\$259.8 - \$455
FL06 - Stearns	135,660	36,096	15,200	3,800	16,000	\$212.2 - \$247
FL07 - Mica	139,984	28,231	14,200	3,500	21,300	\$166 - \$330
FL08 - Keller	102,895	23,960	14,400	3,600	13,400	\$140.9 - \$207.7
FL09 - Bilirakis	132,547	21,177	7,800	2,000	23,100	\$124.5 - \$357.3
FL10 - Young	136,339	24,796	11,500	2,900	23,200	\$145.8 - \$359
FL11 - Davis	89,859	13,985	4,600	1,100	14,900	\$82.2 - \$230.3
FL12 - Putnam	130,132	27,173	11,000	2,800	20,000	\$159.8 - \$309.7
FL13 - Harris	176,281	48,772	22,900	5,700	24,700	\$286.8 - \$382.2
FL14 - Mack	173,671	50,465	24,900	6,200	24,100	\$296.7 - \$372.2
FL15 - Weldon	147,528	28,167	12,800	3,200	21,200	\$165.6 - \$327.4
FL16 - Foley (resigned)	163,151	43,768	21,900	5,500	23,700	\$257.4 - \$366.9
FL17 - Meek	76,716	7,259	1,800	400	16,400	\$42.7 - \$254
FL18 - Ros-Lehtinen	114,631	15,133	2,400	600	21,800	\$89 - \$338.1
FL19 - Wexler	166,693	40,860	16,000	4,000	34,000	\$240.3 - \$525.9
FL20 - Wasserman Schultz	100,475	17,519	8,800	2,200	21,500	\$103 - \$332.8
FL21 - Diaz-Balart	101,260	8,764	0	0	21,400	\$51.5 - \$331.5
FL22 - Shaw	120,867	29,128	17,600	4,400	22,500	\$171.3 - \$347.4
FL23 - Hastings	82,145	11,314	6,100	1,500	16,600	\$66.5 - \$256.4
FL24 - Feeney	114,737	20,572	9,700	2,400	17,300	\$121 - \$267.2
FL25 - Diaz-Balart	74,481	7,448	400	100	15,100	\$43.8 - \$233.8
<b>FL - Florida</b>	<b>3,067,966</b>	<b>636,995</b>	<b>276,700</b>	<b>69,200</b>	<b>487,900</b>	<b>\$3745.5 - \$7549.3</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### GEORGIA

GA01 - Kingston	91,802	34,822	7,100	1,800	13,900	\$204.8 - \$214.7
GA02 - Bishop	91,451	34,051	9,300	2,300	14,000	\$200.2 - \$216.9
GA03 - Marshall	98,018	35,836	8,000	2,000	14,500	\$210.7 - \$224.4
GA04 - McKinney	60,518	17,457	7,700	1,900	8,600	\$102.6 - \$132.7
GA05 - Lewis	62,778	20,577	7,900	2,000	9,400	\$121 - \$144.7
GA06 - Price	61,685	18,651	8,800	2,200	8,900	\$109.7 - \$138.4
GA07 - Linder	64,429	20,480	9,400	2,400	9,700	\$120.4 - \$150.1
GA08 - Westmoreland	92,301	31,604	11,800	3,000	14,000	\$185.8 - \$216.1
GA09 - Norwood	106,952	39,681	13,600	3,400	16,600	\$233.3 - \$256.7
GA10 - Deal	98,119	41,291	14,300	3,600	16,900	\$242.8 - \$261.8
GA11 - Gingrey	89,020	33,334	8,400	2,100	14,200	\$196 - \$220.1
GA12 - Barrow	84,649	27,427	6,300	1,600	11,700	\$161.3 - \$181.4
GA13 - Scott	60,373	18,721	7,900	2,000	8,900	\$110.1 - \$137
<b>GA - Georgia</b>	<b>1,062,095</b>	<b>373,932</b>	<b>120,700</b>	<b>30,200</b>	<b>161,200</b>	<b>\$2198.7 - \$2494.9</b>

### HAWAII

HI01 - Abercrombie	101,760	5,152	2,300	600	13,400	\$30.3 - \$206.8
HI02 - Case	82,750	6,118	7,400	1,900	11,000	\$36 - \$170.8
<b>HI - Hawaii</b>	<b>184,510</b>	<b>11,270</b>	<b>9,700</b>	<b>2,400</b>	<b>24,400</b>	<b>\$66.3 - \$377.7</b>

### IDAHO

ID01 - Otter	108,422	31,975	15,300	3,800	17,000	\$188 - \$263
ID02 - Simpson	87,848	31,914	12,900	3,200	14,200	\$187.7 - \$220.4
<b>ID - Idaho</b>	<b>196,270</b>	<b>63,889</b>	<b>28,200</b>	<b>7,100</b>	<b>31,200</b>	<b>\$375.7 - \$483.4</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
<b>ILLINOIS</b>						
IL01 - Rush	92,828	22,742	10,800	2,700	12,200	\$133.7 - \$188.1
IL02 - Jackson	89,803	22,829	11,400	2,800	11,700	\$134.2 - \$181.3
IL03 - Lipinski	90,456	28,308	14,600	3,600	12,900	\$166.4 - \$199.1
IL04 - Gutierrez	40,764	9,865	7,000	1,700	4,800	\$58 - \$74.4
IL05 - Emanuel	74,771	24,022	13,500	3,400	10,400	\$141.3 - \$161.3
IL06 - Hyde	76,252	26,727	13,500	3,400	10,800	\$157.2 - \$166.9
IL07 - Davis	73,632	18,364	11,300	2,800	8,800	\$108 - \$136.8
IL08 - Bean	71,001	24,343	12,600	3,200	9,700	\$143.1 - \$150
IL09 - Schakowsky	99,548	33,804	15,900	4,000	13,600	\$198.8 - \$210.3
IL10 - Kirk	92,056	34,289	16,900	4,200	13,300	\$201.6 - \$206.5
IL11 - Weller	96,512	31,839	12,500	3,100	12,800	\$187.2 - \$197.8
IL12 - Costello	108,033	32,451	6,700	1,700	16,500	\$190.8 - \$255.3
IL13 - Biggert	76,269	25,566	11,500	2,900	10,300	\$150.3 - \$159.2
IL14 - Hastert	76,403	24,989	11,700	2,900	10,900	\$146.9 - \$168.6
IL15 - Johnson	101,458	30,793	11,200	2,800	14,200	\$181.1 - \$219.7
IL16 - Manzullo	102,049	36,682	14,800	3,700	15,600	\$215.7 - \$241.2
IL17 - Evans	115,947	41,135	13,400	3,300	16,800	\$241.9 - \$260
IL18 - LaHood	111,067	31,788	9,300	2,300	14,400	\$186.9 - \$222.5
IL19 - Shimkus	115,582	44,299	9,700	2,400	18,400	\$260.5 - \$285
<b>IL - Illinois</b>	<b>1,704,432</b>	<b>544,836</b>	<b>228,200</b>	<b>57,100</b>	<b>238,100</b>	<b>\$3203.6 - \$3684</b>

## INDIANA

IN01 - Visclosky	104,893	33,723	17,000	4,300	13,100	\$198.3 - \$202.6
IN02 - Chocola	103,496	37,999	17,100	4,300	15,800	\$223.4 - \$244.6
IN03 - Souder	95,155	33,684	14,500	3,600	14,700	\$198.1 - \$228.1
IN04 - Buyer	93,804	32,885	13,200	3,300	13,100	\$193.4 - \$202.3
IN05 - Burton	99,967	34,050	12,200	3,000	13,600	\$200.2 - \$210
IN06 - Pence	112,713	35,490	14,300	3,600	14,100	\$208.7 - \$217.9
IN07 - Carson	87,179	27,808	12,600	3,100	11,200	\$163.5 - \$173.2
IN08 - Hostettler	112,483	38,943	15,500	3,900	15,900	\$229 - \$245.5
IN09 - Sodrel	104,809	37,290	15,800	3,900	15,200	\$219.3 - \$234.6
<b>IN - Indiana</b>	<b>914,500</b>	<b>311,871</b>	<b>132,200</b>	<b>33,000</b>	<b>126,600</b>	<b>\$1833.8 - \$1958.7</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### IOWA

IA01 - Nussle	99,037	40,718	14,800	3,700	16,900	\$239.4 - \$261.8
IA02 - Leach	91,501	37,876	9,400	2,400	16,700	\$222.7 - \$258.3
IA03 - Boswell	89,617	37,202	11,100	2,800	15,800	\$218.7 - \$244.7
IA04 - Latham	104,513	52,816	13,000	3,200	20,900	\$310.6 - \$324.1
IA05 - King	107,573	55,304	9,300	2,300	22,800	\$325.2 - \$352.8
<b>IA - Iowa</b>	<b>492,242</b>	<b>223,916</b>	<b>57,600</b>	<b>14,400</b>	<b>93,200</b>	<b>\$1316.6 - \$1441.8</b>

### KANSAS

KS01 - Moran	114,991	56,011	17,500	4,400	21,800	\$329.3 - \$336.8
KS02 - Ryun	105,756	39,432	16,200	4,000	15,300	\$231.9 - \$237.5
KS03 - Moore	82,580	23,646	11,500	2,900	13,600	\$139 - \$210
KS04 - Tiahrt	100,457	41,489	15,700	3,900	17,700	\$244 - \$273.3
<b>KS - Kansas</b>	<b>403,784</b>	<b>160,578</b>	<b>60,800</b>	<b>15,200</b>	<b>68,400</b>	<b>\$944.2 - \$1057.7</b>

### KENTUCKY

KY01 - Whitfield	124,587	46,634	14,400	3,600	18,600	\$274.2 - \$288
KY02 - Lewis	110,135	37,834	11,300	2,800	16,600	\$222.5 - \$257.5
KY03 - Northrup	112,910	35,056	12,700	3,200	18,100	\$206.1 - \$279.4
KY04 - Davis	104,958	33,160	13,800	3,400	15,800	\$195 - \$244.2
KY05 - Rogers	131,432	45,881	16,000	4,000	18,300	\$269.8 - \$282.6
KY06 - Chandler	103,910	29,752	12,600	3,200	12,900	\$174.9 - \$199.9
<b>KY - Kentucky</b>	<b>687,933</b>	<b>228,317</b>	<b>80,800</b>	<b>20,200</b>	<b>100,300</b>	<b>\$1342.5 - \$1551.6</b>

### LOUISIANA

LA01 - Jindal	99,253	17,779	10,300	2,600	17,100	\$104.5 - \$265
LA02 - Jefferson	49,108	6,026	2,100	500	9,300	\$35.4 - \$144.3
LA03 - Melancon	85,295	25,566	11,400	2,900	13,000	\$150.3 - \$200.9
LA04 - McCreary	98,848	26,947	9,900	2,500	11,300	\$158.4 - \$174.9
LA05 - Alexander	104,204	31,079	13,100	3,300	12,400	\$182.7 - \$191.2
LA06 - Baker	82,945	16,886	10,400	2,600	12,200	\$99.3 - \$189.5
LA07 - Boustany	95,556	29,598	15,000	3,800	11,500	\$174 - \$178.6
<b>LA - Louisiana</b>	<b>615,209</b>	<b>153,881</b>	<b>72,400</b>	<b>18,100</b>	<b>86,900</b>	<b>\$904.8 - \$1344.2</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### MAINE

ME01 - Allen	119,053	37,698	13,300	3,300	14,700	\$221.7 - \$227.2
ME02 - Michaud	119,416	39,632	13,000	3,300	15,100	\$233 - \$234.2
<b>ME - Maine</b>	<b>238,469</b>	<b>77,330</b>	<b>26,300</b>	<b>6,600</b>	<b>29,800</b>	<b>\$454.7 - \$461.4</b>

### MARYLAND

MD01 - Gilchrest	110,061	34,731	15,600	3,900	13,900	\$204.2 - \$214.6
MD02 - Ruppertsberger	93,474	25,489	9,200	2,300	12,100	\$149.9 - \$187.4
MD03 - Cardin	92,306	25,356	10,900	2,700	11,000	\$149.1 - \$170.8
MD04 - Wynn	62,583	11,018	2,800	700	6,100	\$64.8 - \$94.5
MD05 - Hoyer	72,430	14,440	8,700	2,200	6,600	\$84.9 - \$102.8
MD06 - Bartlett	98,930	32,327	13,000	3,300	12,800	\$190.1 - \$198
MD07 - Cummings	88,117	22,212	9,400	2,300	10,700	\$130.6 - \$165.9
MD08 - Van Hollen	86,093	18,223	11,800	3,000	8,800	\$107.2 - \$136.4
<b>MD - Maryland</b>	<b>703,993</b>	<b>183,796</b>	<b>81,400</b>	<b>20,400</b>	<b>82,100</b>	<b>\$1080.7 - \$1270.4</b>

### MASSACHUSETTS

MA01 - Olver	102,687	17,303	11,200	2,800	12,300	\$101.7 - \$190.7
MA02 - Neal	103,085	14,821	10,800	2,700	13,400	\$87.1 - \$206.8
MA03 - McGovern	93,566	15,783	9,900	2,500	12,800	\$92.8 - \$197.5
MA04 - Frank	100,759	22,746	13,900	3,500	12,400	\$133.7 - \$192.1
MA05 - Meehan	85,201	14,158	8,900	2,200	11,000	\$83.2 - \$170.4
MA06 - Tierney	103,465	19,010	12,200	3,000	12,900	\$111.8 - \$200.3
MA07 - Markey	101,776	18,305	14,800	3,700	13,000	\$107.6 - \$201.5
MA08 - Capuano	67,152	9,667	7,100	1,800	6,200	\$56.8 - \$96.3
MA09 - Lynch	96,431	15,162	12,300	3,100	11,500	\$89.2 - \$177.5
MA10 - Delahunt	122,861	27,538	16,000	4,000	14,200	\$161.9 - \$219.3
<b>MA - Massachusetts</b>	<b>976,982</b>	<b>174,492</b>	<b>117,000</b>	<b>29,200</b>	<b>119,700</b>	<b>\$1026 - \$1852.4</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### MICHIGAN

MI01 - Stupak	132,005	38,166	19,700	4,900	15,700	\$224.4 - \$242.5
MI02 - Hoekstra	106,534	32,065	16,700	4,200	15,700	\$188.5 - \$243
MI03 - Ehlers	85,874	22,800	13,700	3,400	12,500	\$134.1 - \$193
MI04 - Camp	116,557	33,170	15,500	3,900	13,300	\$195 - \$205.1
MI05 - Kildee	105,069	18,381	7,200	1,800	8,000	\$108.1 - \$124.1
MI06 - Upton	104,072	32,116	17,100	4,300	13,900	\$188.8 - \$214.6
MI07 - Schwarz	105,495	29,468	16,100	4,000	12,300	\$173.3 - \$190.5
MI08 - Rogers	80,716	18,196	11,100	2,800	7,800	\$107 - \$120.2
MI09 - Knollenberg	96,069	26,083	15,700	3,900	11,000	\$153.4 - \$170.2
MI10 - Miller	100,925	27,278	15,000	3,700	11,200	\$160.4 - \$173.4
MI11 - McCotter	93,283	22,212	12,000	3,000	9,900	\$130.6 - \$153.9
MI12 - Levin	111,227	27,259	14,000	3,500	11,900	\$160.3 - \$184.5
MI13 - Kilpatrick	82,751	14,403	9,800	2,400	6,700	\$84.7 - \$103.3
MI14 - Conyers	92,422	16,182	9,300	2,300	7,700	\$95.2 - \$118.6
MI15 - Dingell	86,802	18,994	11,300	2,800	8,600	\$111.7 - \$133.4
<b>MI - Michigan</b>	<b>1,499,799</b>	<b>376,773</b>	<b>204,000</b>	<b>51,000</b>	<b>166,100</b>	<b>\$2215.4 - \$2570.4</b>

### MINNESOTA

MN01 - Gutknecht	105,112	45,121	9,400	2,400	21,200	\$265.3 - \$327.9
MN02 - Kline	66,595	22,098	8,100	2,000	12,900	\$129.9 - \$199.9
MN03 - Ramstad	80,502	23,333	9,700	2,400	16,200	\$137.2 - \$251
MN04 - McCollum	82,486	16,832	7,900	2,000	15,200	\$99 - \$235.6
MN05 - Sabo	75,624	16,755	8,100	2,000	14,400	\$98.5 - \$223.1
MN06 - Kennedy	70,507	21,760	9,300	2,300	13,400	\$127.9 - \$207.2
MN07 - Peterson	110,175	53,040	9,900	2,500	24,400	\$311.9 - \$377.6
MN08 - Oberstar	116,992	40,929	14,200	3,500	20,900	\$240.7 - \$323.5
<b>MN - Minnesota</b>	<b>707,993</b>	<b>239,868</b>	<b>76,500</b>	<b>19,100</b>	<b>138,700</b>	<b>\$1410.4 - \$2145.8</b>

### MISSISSIPPI

MS01 - Wicker	121,501	40,658	12,000	3,000	16,400	\$239.1 - \$254.5
MS02 - Thompson	104,781	29,343	9,400	2,400	12,100	\$172.5 - \$187.6
MS03 - Pickering	120,640	37,701	13,000	3,300	15,300	\$221.7 - \$236.6
MS04 - Taylor	111,581	32,116	12,600	3,200	12,700	\$188.8 - \$196.7
<b>MS - Mississippi</b>	<b>458,503</b>	<b>139,818</b>	<b>47,100</b>	<b>11,800</b>	<b>56,600</b>	<b>\$822.1 - \$875.3</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
<b>MISSOURI</b>						
MO01 - Clay	96,971	18,812	8,200	2,100	15,000	\$110.6 - \$232.6
MO02 - Akin	92,024	23,303	9,200	2,300	16,500	\$137 - \$255.1
MO03 - Carnahan	91,411	20,050	8,400	2,100	16,100	\$117.9 - \$248.6
MO04 - Skelton	111,185	34,419	13,000	3,300	16,000	\$202.4 - \$247.7
MO05 - Cleaver	94,865	17,422	8,800	2,200	14,200	\$102.4 - \$219
MO06 - Graves	97,781	34,953	14,400	3,600	15,200	\$205.5 - \$236
MO07 - Blunt	113,770	32,455	12,800	3,200	18,600	\$190.8 - \$287.9
MO08 - Emerson	124,623	38,278	13,300	3,300	16,000	\$225.1 - \$248.2
MO09 - Hulshof	99,684	32,161	12,500	3,100	15,000	\$189.1 - \$231.8
<b>MO - Missouri</b>	<b>922,314</b>	<b>251,855</b>	<b>100,600</b>	<b>25,100</b>	<b>142,600</b>	<b>\$1480.9 - \$2206.9</b>
<b>MONTANA</b>						
MT00 - Rehberg	150,327	56,818	17,000	4,300	24,200	\$334.1 - \$375.2
<b>MT - Montana</b>	<b>150,327</b>	<b>56,818</b>	<b>17,000</b>	<b>4,300</b>	<b>24,200</b>	<b>\$334.1 - \$375.2</b>
<b>NEBRASKA</b>						
NE01 - Fortenberry	86,928	40,042	7,500	1,900	16,100	\$235.4 - \$249.4
NE02 - Terry	71,689	19,820	4,100	1,000	11,200	\$116.5 - \$172.8
NE03 - Osborne	104,193	55,026	9,200	2,300	21,600	\$323.6 - \$333.7
<b>NE - Nebraska</b>	<b>262,809</b>	<b>114,887</b>	<b>20,700</b>	<b>5,200</b>	<b>48,900</b>	<b>\$675.5 - \$756</b>
<b>NEVADA</b>						
NV01 - Berkley	85,196	12,432	4,000	1,000	16,400	\$73.1 - \$254.3
NV02 - Gibbons	110,248	25,774	15,600	3,900	16,600	\$151.5 - \$257
NV03 - Porter	108,167	15,795	6,100	1,500	20,300	\$92.9 - \$314.5
<b>NV - Nevada</b>	<b>303,611</b>	<b>54,000</b>	<b>25,600</b>	<b>6,400</b>	<b>53,400</b>	<b>\$317.5 - \$825.8</b>
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>						
NH01 - Bradley	93,665	26,083	18,100	4,500	10,000	\$153.4 - \$155.4
NH02 - Bass	97,033	27,935	20,600	5,200	11,100	\$164.3 - \$171.2
<b>NH - New Hampshire</b>	<b>190,698</b>	<b>54,018</b>	<b>38,700</b>	<b>9,700</b>	<b>21,100</b>	<b>\$317.6 - \$326.6</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>						
NJ01 - Andrews	90,671	24,883	10,200	2,600	13,000	\$146.3 - \$200.8
NJ02 - LoBiondo	106,134	33,849	14,200	3,600	14,900	\$199 - \$231.1
NJ03 - Saxton	127,101	34,838	12,000	3,000	17,100	\$204.8 - \$264.3
NJ04 - Smith	119,588	34,123	11,800	2,900	16,300	\$200.6 - \$252.5
NJ05 - Garrett	96,622	30,411	14,100	3,500	13,500	\$178.8 - \$208.5
NJ06 - Pallone	82,698	24,637	9,100	2,300	11,200	\$144.9 - \$173.8
NJ07 - Ferguson	91,455	26,174	12,700	3,200	11,600	\$153.9 - \$180
NJ08 - Pascrell	89,675	27,123	12,500	3,100	12,500	\$159.5 - \$193.6
NJ09 - Rothman	97,819	31,477	14,800	3,700	14,700	\$185.1 - \$226.7
NJ10 - Payne	74,988	20,172	8,500	2,100	9,800	\$118.6 - \$152.4
NJ11 - Frelinghuysen	90,711	26,366	12,900	3,200	11,400	\$155 - \$177
NJ12 - Holt	98,680	27,811	10,700	2,700	12,400	\$163.5 - \$191.9
NJ13 - Menendez (resigned)	72,177	21,168	7,700	1,900	9,900	\$124.5 - \$152.5
<b>NJ - New Jersey</b>	<b>1,238,320</b>	<b>363,033</b>	<b>151,200</b>	<b>37,800</b>	<b>168,400</b>	<b>\$2134.6 - \$2605.1</b>

### NEW MEXICO

NM01 - Wilson	90,100	11,349	0	0	17,200	\$66.7 - \$265.4
NM02 - Pearce	97,874	26,985	7,000	1,700	13,000	\$158.7 - \$201.9
NM03 - Udall	84,459	19,772	7,600	1,900	11,300	\$116.3 - \$174.7
<b>NM - New Mexico</b>	<b>272,434</b>	<b>58,106</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>3,800</b>	<b>41,500</b>	<b>\$341.7 - \$642</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
<b>NEW YORK</b>						
NY01 - Bishop	104,742	15,990	13,100	3,300	10,400	\$94 - \$161.2
NY02 - Israel	96,993	15,263	12,600	3,100	9,900	\$89.7 - \$153.7
NY03 - King	108,187	16,245	12,000	3,000	12,900	\$95.5 - \$200.1
NY04 - McCarthy	100,969	17,349	10,300	2,600	12,300	\$102 - \$189.9
NY05 - Ackerman	97,612	17,611	6,600	1,700	14,700	\$103.6 - \$227.6
NY06 - Meeks	72,930	6,517	0	0	11,200	\$38.3 - \$173
NY07 - Crowley	85,941	8,308	1,100	300	12,900	\$48.9 - \$199.7
NY08 - Nadler	99,674	14,418	5,700	1,400	11,600	\$84.8 - \$179.7
NY09 - Weiner	106,682	15,611	5,500	1,400	15,200	\$91.8 - \$234.7
NY10 - Towns	72,117	6,469	0	0	10,000	\$38 - \$155.3
NY11 - Owens	67,130	6,736	100	0	9,300	\$39.6 - \$143.3
NY12 - Velazquez	73,365	6,768	0	0	9,200	\$39.8 - \$142.9
NY13 - Fossella	98,080	9,497	1,900	500	15,400	\$55.8 - \$238.3
NY14 - Maloney	96,681	20,068	13,500	3,400	13,800	\$118 - \$213.3
NY15 - Rangel	86,504	9,128	0	0	10,400	\$53.7 - \$160.5
NY16 - Serrano	60,154	4,769	0	0	7,200	\$28 - \$111
NY17 - Engel	88,660	12,643	5,600	1,400	10,700	\$74.3 - \$166.1
NY18 - Lowey	103,039	19,035	12,000	3,000	12,400	\$111.9 - \$191.1
NY19 - Kelly	90,668	14,336	12,100	3,000	7,200	\$84.3 - \$111.2
NY20 - Sweeney	107,529	13,962	19,400	4,800	6,900	\$82.1 - \$107.3
NY21 - McNulty	109,218	9,060	14,700	3,700	5,400	\$53.3 - \$83.2
NY22 - Hinchey	104,985	16,375	14,300	3,600	7,300	\$96.3 - \$112.3
NY23 - McHugh	99,402	15,138	17,000	4,300	6,400	\$89 - \$98.3
NY24 - Boehlert	113,122	16,385	18,900	4,700	8,100	\$96.3 - \$125.5
NY25 - Walsh	109,755	12,682	17,500	4,400	9,100	\$74.6 - \$141.5
NY26 - Reynolds	111,521	6,290	19,500	4,900	11,100	\$37 - \$171.3
NY27 - Higgins	118,861	8,167	24,100	6,000	11,900	\$48 - \$183.9
NY28 - Slaughter	104,994	7,478	14,300	3,600	10,300	\$44 - \$159.5
NY29 - Kuhl	111,733	11,830	19,500	4,900	10,200	\$69.6 - \$157.5
<b>NY - New York</b>	<b>2,801,249</b>	<b>354,129</b>	<b>285,000</b>	<b>71,800</b>	<b>303,300</b>	<b>\$2082.3 - \$4692.8</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>						
NC01 - Butterfield	109,206	32,463	3,500	900	13,600	\$190.9 - \$210.2
NC02 - Etheridge	86,774	24,113	5,800	1,400	11,000	\$141.8 - \$169.7
NC03 - Jones	97,988	29,705	6,400	1,600	11,700	\$174.7 - \$181.5
NC04 - Price	70,334	16,813	6,500	1,600	8,100	\$98.9 - \$125.7
NC05 - Foxx	110,801	27,066	9,900	2,500	19,700	\$159.1 - \$305.3
NC06 - Coble	110,782	31,730	9,900	2,500	19,000	\$186.6 - \$293.9
NC07 - McIntyre	112,207	33,388	9,600	2,400	13,900	\$196.3 - \$215.3
NC08 - Hayes	87,145	27,393	5,600	1,400	11,700	\$161.1 - \$180.7
NC09 - Myrick	86,614	28,362	10,400	2,600	13,100	\$166.8 - \$203.3
NC10 - McHenry	109,666	41,829	12,000	3,000	17,300	\$246 - \$268.3
NC11 - Taylor	139,787	42,338	15,300	3,800	18,700	\$248.9 - \$289.1
NC12 - Watt	87,703	19,502	6,600	1,700	13,900	\$114.7 - \$215.4
NC13 - Miller	89,606	22,072	5,100	1,300	13,000	\$129.8 - \$201.3
<b>NC - North Carolina</b>	<b>1,298,613</b>	<b>376,774</b>	<b>106,600</b>	<b>26,700</b>	<b>184,800</b>	<b>\$2215.4 - \$2859.7</b>

### NORTH DAKOTA

ND00 - Pomeroy	103,797	56,684	5,000	1,200	22,500	\$333.3 - \$348.2
<b>ND - North Dakota</b>	<b>103,797</b>	<b>56,684</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>1,200</b>	<b>22,500</b>	<b>\$333.3 - \$348.2</b>

### OHIO

OH01 - Chabot	92,385	18,674	8,300	2,100	14,300	\$109.8 - \$221.8
OH02 - Schmidt	95,590	22,240	9,100	2,300	13,600	\$130.8 - \$210.7
OH03 - Turner	103,806	18,805	5,700	1,400	13,300	\$110.6 - \$205.9
OH04 - Oxley	98,071	28,626	12,100	3,000	11,800	\$168.3 - \$182.5
OH05 - Gillmor	91,562	25,183	10,200	2,600	10,900	\$148.1 - \$168.7
OH06 - Strickland	112,443	28,329	13,400	3,400	15,500	\$166.6 - \$239.6
OH07 - Hobson	95,247	17,418	5,300	1,300	11,300	\$102.4 - \$174.3
OH08 - Boehner	93,947	20,195	7,400	1,900	12,200	\$118.7 - \$188.3
OH09 - Kaptur	99,534	19,678	8,500	2,100	12,500	\$115.7 - \$192.9
OH10 - Kucinich	105,573	18,166	11,300	2,800	14,500	\$106.8 - \$224.1
OH11 - Jones	99,628	17,933	11,900	3,000	12,400	\$105.4 - \$192.2
OH12 - Tiberi	80,709	14,596	4,900	1,200	10,100	\$85.8 - \$156.3
OH13 - Brown	102,863	16,127	9,300	2,300	12,400	\$94.8 - \$191.7
OH14 - LaTourette	103,071	22,997	14,800	3,700	13,100	\$135.2 - \$203.2
OH15 - Pryce	74,253	13,543	5,700	1,400	9,600	\$79.6 - \$149.1
OH16 - Regula	104,867	19,001	10,000	2,500	14,900	\$111.7 - \$230
OH17 - Ryan	106,882	16,155	7,400	1,900	15,000	\$95 - \$232
OH18 - Ney	105,213	26,253	12,700	3,200	13,000	\$154.4 - \$201.2
<b>OH - Ohio</b>	<b>1,765,643</b>	<b>363,918</b>	<b>174,000</b>	<b>43,500</b>	<b>230,400</b>	<b>\$2139.8 - \$3564.4</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### OKLAHOMA

OK01 - Sullivan	100,686	24,832	8,800	2,200	17,300	\$146 - \$268.1
OK02 - Boren	129,218	43,368	16,700	4,200	17,800	\$255 - \$275.4
OK03 - Lucas	112,704	45,126	10,600	2,700	19,200	\$265.3 - \$297.7
OK04 - Cole	104,800	34,324	6,700	1,700	14,700	\$201.8 - \$227
OK05 - Istook	100,499	28,137	5,100	1,300	15,200	\$165.4 - \$235.2
<b>OK - Oklahoma</b>	<b>547,906</b>	<b>175,786</b>	<b>47,800</b>	<b>11,900</b>	<b>84,200</b>	<b>\$1033.6 - \$1303.4</b>

### OREGON

OR01 - Wu	87,355	17,322	10,900	2,700	17,100	\$101.9 - \$264.5
OR02 - Walden	130,802	48,698	24,200	6,000	21,400	\$286.3 - \$330.4
OR03 - Blumenauer	88,500	12,162	6,600	1,600	18,500	\$71.5 - \$286.6
OR04 - DeFazio	131,963	43,844	21,400	5,400	23,300	\$257.8 - \$360.5
OR05 - Hooley	108,233	22,281	11,100	2,800	22,800	\$131 - \$352.4
<b>OR - Oregon</b>	<b>546,853</b>	<b>144,307</b>	<b>74,200</b>	<b>18,600</b>	<b>103,000</b>	<b>\$848.5 - \$1594.5</b>

### PENNSYLVANIA

PA01 - Brady	85,683	7,932	6,100	1,500	14,500	\$46.6 - \$223.8
PA02 - Fattah	94,087	10,400	6,600	1,600	15,400	\$61.1 - \$238.6
PA03 - English	116,857	19,777	9,600	2,400	18,200	\$116.3 - \$281.4
PA04 - Hart	122,865	11,432	1,200	300	27,000	\$67.2 - \$418.1
PA05 - Peterson	116,362	25,538	17,500	4,400	12,300	\$150.2 - \$190.6
PA06 - Gerlach	101,700	19,768	10,400	2,600	15,500	\$116.2 - \$240
PA07 - Weldon	107,552	15,480	8,500	2,100	16,200	\$91 - \$250.8
PA08 - Fitzpatrick	101,135	13,104	10,100	2,500	15,300	\$77.1 - \$236.8
PA09 - Shuster	122,991	20,979	8,400	2,100	17,400	\$123.4 - \$269.1
PA10 - Sherwood	119,901	24,595	19,900	5,000	11,900	\$144.6 - \$184.1
PA11 - Kanjorski	128,363	23,401	27,300	6,800	11,700	\$137.6 - \$181.4
PA12 - Murtha	130,526	12,478	0	0	25,300	\$73.4 - \$391.9
PA13 - Schwartz	113,683	13,948	10,700	2,700	17,500	\$82 - \$271.2
PA14 - Doyle	116,730	10,359	4,800	1,200	23,800	\$60.9 - \$368.8
PA15 - Dent	115,429	29,733	13,900	3,500	17,400	\$174.8 - \$269.3
PA16 - Pitts	102,714	28,574	10,700	2,700	14,900	\$168 - \$230
PA17 - Holden	114,853	23,114	12,100	3,000	13,800	\$135.9 - \$213.7
PA18 - Murphy	122,880	11,502	0	0	26,500	\$67.6 - \$409.5
PA19 - Platts	110,319	28,728	10,800	2,700	14,400	\$168.9 - \$222.7
<b>PA - Pennsylvania</b>	<b>2,144,629</b>	<b>350,842</b>	<b>186,800</b>	<b>49,000</b>	<b>329,100</b>	<b>\$2063 - \$5091.9</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### RHODE ISLAND

RI01 - Kennedy	88,223	15,024	7,800	1,900	16,300	\$88.3 - \$251.8
RI02 - Langevin	84,692	13,983	8,600	2,200	15,300	\$82.2 - \$237.4
<b>RI - Rhode Island</b>	<b>172,915</b>	<b>29,007</b>	<b>16,400</b>	<b>4,100</b>	<b>31,600</b>	<b>\$170.6 - \$489.3</b>

### SOUTH CAROLINA

SC01 - Brown	112,654	27,000	12,200	3,100	10,800	\$158.8 - \$166.4
SC02 - Wilson	100,413	23,808	10,100	2,500	10,900	\$140 - \$168.2
SC03 - Barrett	121,705	35,066	13,200	3,300	16,500	\$206.2 - \$255.2
SC04 - Inglis	111,624	34,107	14,700	3,700	16,200	\$200.6 - \$250.4
SC05 - Spratt	109,491	32,026	13,800	3,400	13,500	\$188.3 - \$208.3
SC06 - Clyburn	107,423	24,084	7,100	1,800	10,400	\$141.6 - \$161.7
<b>SC - South Carolina</b>	<b>663,309</b>	<b>176,090</b>	<b>71,100</b>	<b>17,800</b>	<b>78,200</b>	<b>\$1035.4 - \$1210.2</b>

### SOUTH DAKOTA

SD00 - Herseeth	125,808	64,675	5,800	1,400	25,400	\$380.3 - \$393.3
<b>SD - South Dakota</b>	<b>125,808</b>	<b>64,675</b>	<b>5,800</b>	<b>1,400</b>	<b>25,400</b>	<b>\$380.3 - \$393.3</b>

### TENNESSEE

TN01 - Jenkins	130,158	24,287	12,000	3,000	18,400	\$142.8 - \$285
TN02 - Duncan	113,147	25,307	10,500	2,600	16,900	\$148.8 - \$261.5
TN03 - Wamp	114,615	32,424	12,300	3,100	17,100	\$190.7 - \$264.4
TN04 - Davis	126,792	33,900	13,200	3,300	15,700	\$199.3 - \$243
TN05 - Cooper	83,121	16,032	6,600	1,700	12,700	\$94.3 - \$197.1
TN06 - Gordon	98,127	21,649	11,200	2,800	12,900	\$127.3 - \$200
TN07 - Blackburn	88,162	23,007	9,700	2,400	10,600	\$135.3 - \$164.4
TN08 - Tanner	104,665	30,392	8,900	2,200	12,600	\$178.7 - \$194.9
TN09 - Ford	76,932	18,029	7,200	1,800	8,200	\$106 - \$127.4
<b>TN- Tennessee</b>	<b>935,718</b>	<b>225,027</b>	<b>91,600</b>	<b>22,900</b>	<b>125,200</b>	<b>\$1323.2 - \$1937.6</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
<b>TEXAS</b>						
TX01 - Gohmert	108,695	38,486	9,800	2,400	15,000	\$226.3 - \$232.8
TX02 - Poe	85,373	22,916	6,500	1,600	12,500	\$134.7 - \$193.8
TX03 - Johnson	55,763	16,651	6,500	1,600	8,400	\$97.9 - \$129.3
TX04 - Hall	105,356	36,294	15,300	3,800	14,700	\$213.4 - \$227.2
TX05 - Hensarling	91,517	30,378	11,700	2,900	13,800	\$178.6 - \$214.3
TX06 - Barton	78,690	21,350	6,600	1,700	11,500	\$125.5 - \$178.7
TX07 - Culberson	73,221	23,574	10,800	2,700	12,000	\$138.6 - \$185.6
TX08 - Brady	98,597	31,213	13,200	3,300	14,900	\$183.5 - \$230.2
TX09 - Green	49,597	14,253	2,200	500	9,100	\$83.8 - \$140.1
TX10 - McCaul	68,146	19,527	6,100	1,500	9,200	\$114.8 - \$143.1
TX11 - Conaway	108,734	38,599	1,500	400	15,400	\$227 - \$238.9
TX12 - Granger	81,360	19,517	6,200	1,500	13,200	\$114.8 - \$204.6
TX13 - Thornberry	100,154	37,868	13,100	3,300	14,700	\$222.7 - \$227.5
TX14 - Paul	89,165	29,113	4,200	1,000	12,400	\$171.2 - \$192.2
TX15 - Hinojosa	86,485	28,349	6,800	1,700	11,700	\$166.7 - \$181.7
TX16 - Reyes	85,192	20,771	3,800	1,000	11,900	\$122.1 - \$184.2
TX17 - Edwards	94,703	25,870	9,900	2,500	12,700	\$152.1 - \$196.9
TX18 - Jackson-Lee	68,023	19,952	7,700	1,900	13,100	\$117.3 - \$203
TX19 - Neugebauer	97,563	37,636	13,800	3,500	14,700	\$221.3 - \$227.5
TX20 - Gonzalez	83,909	11,499	0	0	13,400	\$67.6 - \$207.8
TX21 - Smith	87,832	17,680	2,100	500	11,000	\$104 - \$170.7
TX22 - DeLay (resigned)	69,942	18,089	8,900	2,200	10,100	\$106.4 - \$156
TX23 - Bonilla	96,284	25,886	13,100	3,300	12,200	\$152.2 - \$188.9
TX24 - Marchant	54,697	14,438	6,800	1,700	8,500	\$84.9 - \$131.6
TX25 - Doggett	69,809	21,036	6,600	1,600	8,800	\$123.7 - \$136.6
TX26 - Burgess	74,365	19,149	8,700	2,200	11,800	\$112.6 - \$183.1
TX27 - Ortiz	83,727	21,256	4,200	1,100	12,400	\$125 - \$191.9
TX28 - Cuellar	85,459	18,613	2,200	600	13,200	\$109.4 - \$204.4
TX29 - Green	53,736	14,457	6,000	1,500	10,700	\$85 - \$164.9
TX30 - Johnson	66,230	18,432	7,200	1,800	10,800	\$108.4 - \$167.5
TX31 - Carter	77,234	14,801	15,600	3,900	9,600	\$87 - \$148.8
TX32 - Sessions	66,616	22,530	8,500	2,100	11,300	\$132.5 - \$174.1
<b>TX - Texas</b>	<b>2,596,175</b>	<b>750,184</b>	<b>238,100</b>	<b>59,200</b>	<b>385,000</b>	<b>\$4411.1 - \$5957.8</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
<b>UTAH</b>						
UT01 - Bishop	79,481	21,437	6,000	1,500	11,000	\$126 - \$169.6
UT02 - Matheson	98,692	31,026	12,700	3,200	15,800	\$182.4 - \$244.7
UT03 - Cannon	63,930	17,890	7,800	2,000	9,500	\$105.2 - \$147.7
<b>UT - Utah</b>	<b>242,104</b>	<b>70,353</b>	<b>26,500</b>	<b>6,600</b>	<b>36,300</b>	<b>\$413.7 - \$562</b>
<b>VERMONT</b>						
VT00 - Sanders	98,199	33,659	11,900	3,000	12,800	\$197.9 - \$198.6
<b>VT - Vermont</b>	<b>98,199</b>	<b>33,659</b>	<b>11,900</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>12,800</b>	<b>\$197.9 - \$198.6</b>
<b>VIRGINIA</b>						
VA01 - Davis	93,660	24,637	10,100	2,500	10,400	\$144.9 - \$160.8
VA02 - Drake	73,330	17,541	5,600	1,400	7,600	\$103.1 - \$117
VA03 - Scott	90,231	24,169	7,900	2,000	11,000	\$142.1 - \$169.5
VA04 - Forbes	94,084	26,574	9,400	2,300	11,700	\$156.3 - \$181.6
VA05 - Goode	121,280	49,235	16,300	4,100	20,600	\$289.5 - \$318.4
VA06 - Goodlatte	118,630	45,778	19,200	4,800	18,600	\$269.2 - \$288.1
VA07 - Cantor	97,660	32,187	16,400	4,100	13,200	\$189.3 - \$204.8
VA08 - Moran	59,825	10,610	3,500	900	5,500	\$62.4 - \$84.6
VA09 - Boucher	134,024	50,888	17,400	4,300	23,500	\$299.2 - \$363.7
VA10 - Wolf	64,635	15,732	12,200	3,100	7,100	\$92.5 - \$110
VA11 - Davis	63,128	9,279	5,100	1,300	5,100	\$54.6 - \$78.9
<b>VA - Virginia</b>	<b>1,010,487</b>	<b>306,631</b>	<b>123,200</b>	<b>30,800</b>	<b>134,300</b>	<b>\$1803 - \$2077.4</b>
<b>WASHINGTON</b>						
WA01 - Inslee	77,119	18,962	12,800	3,200	10,700	\$111.5 - \$165.4
WA02 - Larsen	99,395	26,238	15,800	4,000	14,400	\$154.3 - \$223.1
WA03 - Baird	104,373	20,830	11,300	2,800	16,800	\$122.5 - \$259.7
WA04 - Hastings	92,451	32,513	15,300	3,800	12,800	\$191.2 - \$197.4
WA05 - McMorris	107,748	36,611	14,500	3,600	14,800	\$215.3 - \$229
WA06 - Dicks	113,820	29,927	11,300	2,800	14,000	\$176 - \$216.6
WA07 - McDermott	81,772	17,535	14,000	3,500	11,200	\$103.1 - \$173.2
WA08 - Reichert	76,006	18,856	16,200	4,000	10,400	\$110.9 - \$161.6
WA09 - Smith	83,906	17,279	11,800	3,000	10,300	\$101.6 - \$158.7
<b>WA - Washington</b>	<b>836,588</b>	<b>218,751</b>	<b>123,100</b>	<b>30,800</b>	<b>115,300</b>	<b>\$1286.3 - \$1784.6</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.

## Appendix 1: Medicare Drug Plan Facts and Figures by State and Congressional District

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT	Number of Medicare Beneficiaries in District	Beneficiaries Enrolled in Medicare Drug Plan	Beneficiaries without Drug Coverage	Beneficiaries Subject to Late Enrollment Penalties	Beneficiaries Who Will Enter Donut Hole	Potential Beneficiary Savings from Drug Price Negotiation (2006-2015)
------------------------	--	--	-------------------------------------	--	---	---

### WEST VIRGINIA

WV01 - Mollohan	114,928	37,859	11,000	2,800	17,200	\$222.6 - \$265.6
WV02 - Capito	115,670	32,372	10,400	2,600	13,600	\$190.3 - \$209.9
WV03 - Rahall	128,441	40,831	11,300	2,800	16,700	\$240.1 - \$258.2
<b>WV - West Virginia</b>	<b>359,039</b>	<b>111,062</b>	<b>32,700</b>	<b>8,200</b>	<b>47,400</b>	<b>\$653 - \$733.6</b>

### WISCONSIN

WI01 - Ryan	106,957	23,404	9,900	2,500	11,000	\$137.6 - \$170.3
WI02 - Baldwin	91,495	21,688	11,500	2,900	9,400	\$127.5 - \$144.9
WI03 - Kind	103,506	19,008	16,600	4,200	11,300	\$111.8 - \$175.3
WI04 - Moore	89,239	13,732	5,300	1,300	9,000	\$80.7 - \$138.7
WI05 - Sensenbrenner	105,223	28,350	9,200	2,300	14,500	\$166.7 - \$224.7
WI06 - Petri	112,912	26,123	15,900	4,000	13,800	\$153.6 - \$213.7
WI07 - Obey	119,011	22,886	22,000	5,500	12,000	\$134.6 - \$185
WI08 - Green	106,367	26,937	14,200	3,600	13,800	\$158.4 - \$214.1
<b>WI - Wisconsin</b>	<b>834,711</b>	<b>182,128</b>	<b>104,600</b>	<b>26,200</b>	<b>94,800</b>	<b>\$1070.9 - \$1466.5</b>

### WYOMING

WY00 - Cubin	71,866	29,560	8,200	2,000	11,800	\$206 - \$217
<b>WY - Wyoming</b>	<b>71,866</b>	<b>29,560</b>	<b>8,200</b>	<b>2,000</b>	<b>11,800</b>	<b>\$206 - \$217</b>

\* Sum of district totals may not equal state totals due to rounding.